

$\exists w_1 \in H'_0$ s.t. $\|w_1\|_{L^2} = 1$

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{\substack{u \in C_0^\infty \\ \|u\| \neq 0}} E(u) = E(w_1)$$

where $E(u) = \frac{\int |\nabla u|^2 - \nu u^2}{\int u^2}$

prop) $\lambda_1 = \inf_{\substack{u \in H'_0 \\ \|u\| \neq 0}} E(u)$

pf) H'_0 is the closure of C_0^∞ in H^1 .

$$\Rightarrow \inf_{\substack{u \in H'_0 \\ \|u\|_{L^2} \neq 0}} E(u) = \inf_{\substack{u \in C_0^\infty \\ \|u\| \neq 0}} E(u)$$

prop) Given $v \in H'_0$, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t

$$|J(s) - E(w_1 + s v)| \geq E(w_1) - \lambda_1$$

for $|s| < \varepsilon$.

$$\text{Thm)} \int_{\Omega} -\nabla w \cdot \nabla \varphi + v w \cdot \varphi + \lambda_1 w \cdot \varphi = 0$$

- (*)

$$\forall \varphi \in H_0^1.$$

$$\text{pf)} I(s) = E(w + s\varphi)$$

$$\frac{I'(0)}{2I(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \log I(s) \Big|_{s=0}$$

$$\Rightarrow (*)$$

Def) we call $u \in H_0^1$ a weak solution to $\Delta u + (v + \lambda_1)u = 0$ of zero Dirichlet condition

$$\text{if } \int_{\Omega} -\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + v u \cdot \varphi + \lambda_1 u \cdot \varphi = 0$$

$$\forall \varphi \in H_0^1.$$

Hence, w_1 is a weak sol
to the elliptic eq.

$$\Delta w_1 + (v + d_1)w_1 = 0$$

w/ zero Dirichlet condition.

By Theorem 8.29 in the textbook
(Sandro Salsa)

(see also [Evans], [GT2. weak solutions])

$$w_1 \in H^m(\Omega) \quad \forall m \geq 2$$

(since $v \in C^\infty$, d_1 is C^∞)

where $H^m(\Omega)$ ^{C^m} consists of functions
w/ i -th order weak derivatives ($i \leq m$)
belonging to $L^2(\Omega)$.

$W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ consist of functions u
w/ weak derivatives $\partial_i u$.

s.t. $u, \partial_i u \in L^p$.

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}} = \left(\|u\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p}$$

In particular, $H^1 = W^{1,2}$

Similarly, $\|u\|_{W^{k,p}} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\nabla^\alpha u\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{1/p}$

$$W^{k,2} = H^k.$$

$W_0^{k,p}$ is the closure of C_0^∞ in $W^{k,p}$.

ex) $H_0^1 = W_0^{1,2}$. $H_0^m = W_0^{m,2}$.

Sobolev embedding) (ch 7. in (5.12))

If $p < n$, then $W^{1,p} \subset L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}$
i.e. if $u \in W^{1,p}$ then $u \in L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}$.

If $p > n$, then given any
 $u \in W^{1,p}$ there exists $u^* \in C^\alpha$

(where $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$) such that
the set $\{u \neq u^*\}$ has measure zero.

Then. $w_i \in H_0^m$

$\Rightarrow \exists w_i^* \in C^{k,\alpha}$ s.t

$\{w_i \neq w_i^*\}$ has measure zero.

where $k < m$. $m-k \leq C(n)$.

$\therefore \exists w_i^* \in C_0^\infty$ s.t $\Delta w_i^* + (u + \lambda)w_i = 0$.

we may replace w_1 with w_1^* .

Then, we can show the existence of $w_2 \in H_0^1$ s.t. $\|w_2\|_{L^2} = 1$.

$$\lambda_2 = \inf_{u \in X} E(u) = E(w_2)$$

Then, w_2 is a weak sol.

$$\text{to } \Delta w_2 + (V + \lambda_2)w_2 = 0$$

of zero Dirichlet condition.

By the theorem 8.29 in Salsa,

$$w_2 \in H_0^m \quad \forall m \geq 2.$$

$\Rightarrow \exists w_2^* \in C_0^\infty$ s.t. $\{w_2 \neq w_2^*\}$ has $m < 0$.

$$\Delta w_2^* + (V + \lambda_2)w_2^* = 0.$$

\Rightarrow Iterate !!

proof of weak convergence
of bounded sequence in H'
introduced in lecture 10.

(Cf. Riesz representation theorem)

Let $\|u_k\|_{H'} \leq 1, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim: $B = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$

has countable orthonormal basis.

pf of claim) **Gram-Schmidt!!**

Let u_{k_1} be the 1st non-zero term.

Define $w_1 = u_{k_1} / \|u_{k_1}\|_{H'}$

Let u_{k_2} satisfy that $u_{k_2} \notin \text{span}\{w_1\}$
 $u_j \in \text{span}\{w_1\} \forall j < k_2$.

Define $w_2 = \frac{u_{k_2} - \langle u_{k_2}, w_1 \rangle_{H'} w_1}{\|u_{k_2} - \langle u_{k_2}, w_1 \rangle_{H'} w_1\|_{H'}}$

Let u_{k_3} satisfy $u_{k_3} \notin \text{span}\{w_1, w_2\}$
 $u_j \in \text{span}\{w_1, w_2\}$

$$w_3 \triangleq \frac{u_{k_3} - \langle u_{k_3}, w_1 \rangle w_1 - \langle u_{k_3}, w_2 \rangle w_2}{\| \quad \quad \quad \|_{H'}}$$

We iterate to obtain $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Then, $\|w_i\|_{H'} = 1$, $\langle w_i, w_j \rangle_{H'} = 0$, if $i \neq j$

$\text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \subset \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$

$\Rightarrow \text{span}\{u_1, \dots\} \subset \text{span}\{w_1, \dots\}$

i.e. $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the desired basis.

Next, we denote

$$\langle u_k, w_\ell \rangle = a_{k\ell}^2.$$

$$\Rightarrow u_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_{k\ell}^2 w_\ell.$$

$$\hookrightarrow \|u_k\|_{H^1}^2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (a_{k\ell}^2)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{k\ell}^2| \leq 1 \quad \forall k, \ell.$$

$a_{k\ell}^1$ has a convergent subseq. $a_{k_j}^1$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k_j \rightarrow +\infty} \langle u_{k_j}, w_1 \rangle = \lim_{k_j \rightarrow +\infty} a_{k_j}^1 = \bar{a}^1$$

for some $\bar{a}^1 \in [-1, 1]$.

$a_{k_j}^2$ has a conv. subseq. $a_{k_{j_m}}^2$.

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k_{j_m}} \langle u_{k_{j_m}}, w_2 \rangle = \bar{a}^2 \in [-1, 1]$$

By iterating this process

we have a seq $f_z: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$.

$$\text{s.t. } f_z(m) < f_z(m+1)$$

$$f_{z+1}(\mathcal{N}) \subset f_z(\mathcal{N})$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \cup_{f_z(m)}, w_z \rangle = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{f_z(m)}^z = \bar{\alpha}^z$$

$\hat{u}_z = \cup_{f_z(z)}$ is a subset of u_z .

In addition, $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \langle \hat{u}_z, w_z \rangle = \bar{\alpha}^z \in \mathcal{C}$. (2)

(Claim 2) $\sum_{j=1}^m (\bar{\alpha}^j)^2 \leq 1 \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}$.

proof) $\hat{u}_m \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\alpha}^j w_j \in H'$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle \hat{u}_z, \hat{u}_m \rangle_{H'} &= \sum_{j=1}^m \langle \hat{u}_z, w_j \rangle_{H'} \bar{\alpha}^j \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^m (\bar{\alpha}^j)^2 = \|\hat{u}_m\|_{H'}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \tilde{u}_m \rangle \rightarrow \|u_m\|_{H^1}^2$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$|\langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \tilde{u}_m \rangle_{H^1}| \leq \|\hat{u}_\varepsilon\|_{H^1} \|\tilde{u}_m\|_{H^1}$$

$$= \|\tilde{u}_m\|_{H^1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\tilde{u}_m\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|\tilde{u}_m\|_{H^1}^2$$

$$\therefore \|\tilde{u}_m\|_{H^1} \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^m (\bar{a}^j)^2 \leq 1 \quad \forall m \geq 1$$

So, we have $\bar{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}^j w_j \in H^1$

w/ $\|\bar{u}\|_{H^1} \leq 1$. \perp

Finally, we claim

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, v \rangle_{H^1} = \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle_{H^1}$$

for every $v \in H^1$.

Proof) given $v \in H^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we consider } \bar{v} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle v, w_j \rangle w_j \\ &= \text{proj}_B v. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, v \rangle_{H^1} &= \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{v} \rangle_{H^1} \\ \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle_{H^1} &= \langle \bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle_{H^1} \end{aligned}$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t

$$\| \bar{v} - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \langle \bar{v}, w_\ell \rangle w_\ell \|_{H^1} < \varepsilon.$$

$$\text{define } \tilde{v} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \langle \bar{v}, w_\ell \rangle w_\ell$$

$$\langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{v} \rangle_{H'} = \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{v} - \tilde{v} \rangle_{H'} + \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \tilde{v} \rangle_{H'}$$

$$|\langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \bar{v} - \tilde{v} \rangle_{H'}| \leq \|\hat{u}_\varepsilon\|_{H'} \|\bar{v} - \tilde{v}\|_{H'}$$

$$\leq 1 \cdot \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

$$\langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, \tilde{v} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, w_k \rangle \langle v, w_k \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^m \langle \bar{u}, w_k \rangle \langle v, w_k \rangle = \langle \bar{u}, \tilde{v} \rangle$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$|\langle \bar{u}, \bar{v} - \tilde{v} \rangle_{H'}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

\Rightarrow for sufficiently large ε .

$$|\langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, v \rangle - \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle| < 3\varepsilon.$$

$$\therefore \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \hat{u}_\varepsilon, v \rangle = \langle \bar{u}, v \rangle$$

for any $v \in H'$.

Suppose that $\|u_k\|_{H^1} \leq 1 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

and $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle u_k, v \rangle_{H^1} = \langle u, v \rangle_{H^1}$

for all $v \in H^1_0$.

Namely, $u \in H^1$ is a weak limit
of the seq. $\{u_k\}$

This does NOT imply that

u_k has a limit in H^1 .

Namely, we do NOT know if

$\exists u \in H^1$ s.t. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u\|_{H^1} = 0$.

Ex) Let $\Omega = [0, \pi] \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$u_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (1+k^2)^{-1/2} \sin kx$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{H^1}^2 &= \frac{2}{\pi} (1+k^2)^{-1} \int_0^\pi (\sin kx)^2 + (k \cos kx)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} (1+k^2)^{-1} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + k^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Claim: 0 is a weak limit

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_k, v \rangle_{H^1} = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1 \subset \mathcal{D}'$$

$$\text{pf) } H_0^1 \subset L^2, \quad v = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k u_k$$

$$\text{where } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 < +\infty.$$

$$\text{However, } \langle u_k, v \rangle = a_k \|u_k\| = a_k \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{But } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - 0\|_{H^1} = 1 \neq 0$$

$$\text{Ex) } \ell^2(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 < +\infty\}$$

$$x, y \in \ell^2(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle_{\ell^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i y_i$$

$\ell^2(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is a Hilbert space

Let $u_k = e_k = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \overset{\text{← } k\text{-th}}{1}, 0, \dots)$

$$\text{Then, } \|u_k\|_{\ell^2} = 1.$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_k, v \rangle_{\ell^2} = 0 \quad \forall v \in \ell^2$$

$$\text{However, } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - 0\|_{\ell^2} = 1.$$